



Nebraska's Health Science Center

College of Nursing Community-Based Health

# RESPIRATORY AND HEARING HEALTH SURVEY Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behaviors Evaluative Baseline Findings 2013

Central States Center for Agricultural Safety and Health (CS-CASH)

Final Report July 25, 2013

Evaluation Team Mary Cramer, PhD, APHN-BC, FAAN Mary Wendl, BSN, MSA Harlan Sayles, MS

### Matt Anderson, BS

### **Executive Summary**

The CS-CASH Respiratory and Hearing Health Survey was developed (Appendix C) and administered in spring 2013 to a random sampling (N = 1000) of agricultural operators in a seven state region of the Midwest. The response rate was 28%. The survey purpose was to evaluate baseline knowledge, attitudes, and practices for hearing and dust protection measures, as well as awareness of the Central States Center for Agricultural Safety and Health. Data were descriptively analyzed in total and by generations: Matures/Baby Boomers (i.e., *older generation* > 50 years) and GenerationX/Millenials (i.e., *younger generation* < 50 years). The study was approved by the UNMC Institutional Review Board.

**Characteristics:** The vast majority of respondents were family or individual operators in corn/soybean production with a mean total acreage of 872 acres. Respondents were largely white non-Hispanic males with a mean age of 57.4 years. About half raised cattle and fewer than a quarter raised hogs/pigs.

**Dust Protection:** One-fourth had been life-time smokers but only 5.8% were current smokers. Very few reported having respiratory health conditions. Knowledge deficits about health risks and sources of dust exposure included: a) more than one-fourth of the younger generation was unsure about the link between continual dust exposure and COPD; b) nearly one-third of both generational groups thought harmful toxins were present only in grain dust; c) nearly one-fifth of both generational groups said only grain dust exposure presented health risks; and d) 15% of the younger generation didn'tknow/disagreed that animal dust was a health risk. There were knowledge deficit regarding masks. Fewer than half knew masks should be N95 approved and one-fourth didn't know/disagreed with the need for proper fitting. The older generation learned about mask protection primarily from agricultural shows/events or flyers/brochures, while the younger generation learned through other venues such as personal knowledge or "common sense." The younger generational groups said the primary reasons for not wearing a mask included: forgetting, discomfort, and not having one available when needed. It is important to note that nearly one-third of the younger generation and one-fifth of the older generation said masks "weren't necessary."

**Hearing Protection**: Half of the respondents reported having a mild to severe hearing loss but do not wear hearing aids. Knowledge deficits about hearing protection risks and consequences were noteworthy. Nearly all agreed that continual unprotected noise exposure could lead to hearing loss, but many were misinformed about the causes. For example, more than one-third didn't know/disagreed that continual noise from animals could result in serious hearing loss; nearly one-fifth thought only machinery noise was a risk for hearing loss; and three-fourths didn't know/disagreed that exposure to solvents/pesticides or antibiotics increased the risk of hearing loss in the present of continual noise exposure. Many respondents were not aware of the need for proper fitting for hearing protection. Both generational groups preferred ear plugs to ear muffs. The younger generation wore hearing protection in noisy conditions more often than the older generation. The most frequently cited reasons for not wearing hearing protection for both generational groups were forgetting and not having it available. Sixteen percent of the younger generation said hearing protection "wasn't necessary."

**CS-CASH:** Few respondents were aware of CS-CASH. Respondents' top priorities for a Center were: education for ag-related injuries; education for health ag-related health conditions; clinical research on ag-related health conditions; and community outreach. Most rely on magazines for information

followed by newspapers/journals, local resources (i.e., elevator operators, retail stores); and ag fairs. The least cited source for information was web-based (12.5%).

### Methodology

### **Design and Sample**

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study administered by mailed survey. The unit of study was agricultural operators of corn/soybean farms and/or hog farms.

A list of 2,000 corn and soybean farms in each of seven Midwestern states (Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Missouri, Minnesota, South Dakota, and North Dakota) was obtained from Farm Market ID. This list represented 14,000 of the 58,812 corn and soybean farms in these seven states that had emails listed with the USDA. A second list was also obtained which included all 359 hog farmers from these seven states that had emails listed with the USDA. The two lists were compared and 70 farms were identified as appearing in both lists.

The data were stratified by type of farms (i.e., corn/beans only, hogs only, both) and state (Table A). The final sample (N = 1,000) was selected using simple random sampling within the 21 strata. The number of farmers selected within each state was proportional to each state's percentage of total farmers in the region. Farms with both corn/beans and hogs were selected with certainty while the remaining sample was comprised of a disproportionately large number of hog farms to hopefully allow for some analyses within farm type. After the "both" farmers were selected, approximately 1.40% (820/58,742) of the remaining corn/bean farmers were selected and 38.06% (110/289) of the remaining hog farmers were selected to complete the 1,000 farmer sample. Using a sample size of 1,000 and assuming a 30% response rate would allow for estimating proportions with confidence intervals of +/- 12.0% or smaller within hog farmers and +/- 5.9% or smaller within corn/bean farmers. The target sampling size ws based on the assumption of a 30% responser ate.

	IA	KS	MN	MO	ND	NE	SD	Total
	233	102	165	86	58	99	77	820
Corn and Beans Only	28.41	12.44	20.12	10.49	7.07	12.07	9.39	
	75.16	93.58	77.83	91.49	90.63	84.62	81.91	
	53	5	29	4	2	12	5	110
Hogs Only	48.18	4.55	26.36	3.64	1.82	10.91	4.55	
	17.10	4.59	13.68	4.26	3.13	10.26	5.32	
	24	2	18	4	4	6	12	70
Corn, Beans, Hogs	34.29	2.86	25.71	5.71	5.71	8.57	17.14	
	7.74	1.83	8.49	4.26	6.25	5.13	12.77	
Total	310	109	212	94	64	117	94	1000

### Table A. Type of Farm for Sampling

Dillman methodologies (2013) were employed to enhance mailed survey response rates. These techniques involved four contacts. First, an advance letter was sent explaining the purpose of the study and the invitation to participate. Approximately one week later a packet was mailed that contained the

survey instrument, a cover letter with all elements of informed consent, and a self-addressed, stamped envelope. The third mailing was a reminder postcard sent one week later. The final mailing was sent only to non-respondents three weeks after the reminder and contained a new survey, cover letter and envelope.

There were 298 surveys returned of which 18 were unusable (i.e., blank or with notes explaining that the person selected was deceased or no longer farming). The final response rate was 28.0% (280/1000) using the American Association of Public Opinion Researchers response rate formula number two<sup>1</sup>. Our response rate was close to the assumed 30% response rate that used for determine our target sample size.

### Measures

The survey instrument, *CS-CASH Respiratory and Hearing Health Survey* (Appendix C), was developed by the Evaluation Team in collaboration with key leaders from the CS-CASH project including Dr. Risto Rautiainen (Center PI) and Ellen Duysen (project coordinator). Content validity for dust exposure was assessed by Dr. Deb Romberger (Outreach PI) and clinical expert in pulmonary medicine. Content validity for hearing protection was assessed by Dr. Chandran Achutan, College of Public Health. The instrument was pilot tested and minor adjustments were made in wording and format.

The survey instrument contained 30 total items -- 13 items for demographic and personal health information, 5 items for dust exposure, 7 items regarding hearing protection, and 5 items regarding awareness of the CS-CASH project and activities. The majority of items were closed-ended; however, also included were 2 Likert-type scale items and 4 open-ended items. Demographic items onwere taken from the US 2007 Census of Agriculture (NASS http://www.nass.usda.gov/Data\_and\_Statistics) and the personal health data items were taken from the Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (2011 - 2012).

### Analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS v9.3 (The SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Frequencies and percentages were calculated for each item and according to generational groups (i.e., Boomer/Mature >50 years and GenX/Millenials < 50 years). Respondents were allowed to answer more than one response to some items yielding more than 100% cumulative totals. Open-ended items were analyzed qualitatively for themes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The AAPOR response rate formula #2 is a conservative approach. It counted all targeted households in the survey as eligible based on estimated stability of farm households (i.e., in not moving or changing jobs frequently).

### **DESCRIPTIVE FINDINGS**

### Section One: FARM OPERATION

The vast majority of respondents was family or individual operators (90.8%) who were involved in corn (89.9%) or soybean (81.4%) production. Of these, the vast majority (N = 264/280) owned acreage with a mean of 499.6 acres and range of 250 - 10,000 acres. The total acreage in operation (owned and leased) was M = 871.9 acres. Approximately half of the respondents raised cattle (47.7%) with M = 227.3 cattle on hand. Less than a quarter of respondents (20.8%) raised hogs/pigs with M = 2109.1 hogs/pigs on hand.

Operation Description (N=273)	
Family or individual operation	90.8%
Partnership operation	5.5%
Incorporated under state law	1.5%
Other (ex: estate or trust, prison farm, American Indian Reservation)	2.2%
Production Activites (N=264)	
Grow/harvest – corn crops	89.8%
Grow/harvest – soybean crops	81.4%
Raise hogs/pigs	20.8%
Raise cattle	47.7%
Other	15.9%

#### **Table 1. Farm Operation**

Acreage in 2012	Ν	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Med	Max
Acreage Owned in 2012	264	499.6	981.6	0	250	10000
Acreage Leased from Others in 2012	247	441.7	577.4	0	260	4800
Acreage Leased to Others in 2012	207	92.1	404.5	0	0	5120
Total Acreage in Operation in 2012	248	871.9	1028.0	0	573	7400

Livestock in 2012	Ν	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Med	Max
Total Hogs/Pigs on Hand in 2012 <sup>a</sup>	53	2109.1	3068.0	0	1000	15000
Total Cattle/Calves on Hand in 2012 <sup>b</sup>	120	227.3	429.4	0	100	4000

a – Limited to those who report raising hogs/pigs. b – Limited to those who report raising cattle.

# Section Two: RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS

The vast majority of respondents were white (99.6%), non-Hispanic (98.9%) males (96.4%) with a mean age of 57.4 years (Figure 1). Most were principal operators/senior partners (71%). One-fourth (25.4%) reported having been a smoker in their lifetime and of these only 5.8% reported being current smokers. Half of the respondents' (56.4%) reported having a mild to severe hearing loss. Few reported having respiratory conditions (Table 2a). By generational groups, only 13% were Millenials or Generation X (Table 2b).

•	
Respondent Status (N=274)	
Principal operator or senior partner	71.0%
Secondary operator	9.3%
Other	19.2%
Age (N=278)	
Mean = 57.4, SD = 10.6, Median = 57.0, Range = 25 - 92	
Hispanic or Latino (N=278)	
Yes	1.1%
No	98.9%
Race (N=280)	
White	99.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%
Gender (N=280)	
Male	96.4%
Female	3.6%
Smoked 100+ Cigarettes in their life (N=280)	
Yes	25.4%
No	71.8%
Don't know / Not sure	1.8%
Refused	1.1%
Current Smoking Status (N=278)	
Every day	5.0%
Some days	0.8%
Not at all	91.0%
Don't know / Not sure	0.4%
Refuse	2.9%
Average daily cigarettes among "Every day" smokers (N=14)	
Mean = 20.1, SD = 10.1, Median = 20.0, Range = 2 - 50	
Current Medical Conditions (N=280 – leaving all blank is an option)	
Mild hearing loss	50.7%
Severe hearing loss	5.7%
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (ex. Bronchitis, Emphysema)	2.1%
Asthma	5.0%
Sinus disease	4.3%
Nasal symptoms	11.1%

#### Table 2a. Respondent Characteristics

Table 2b. Respondents by	Generation			
	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Matures: 1945 or earlier	50	17.99	50	17.99
Boomers: 1946-1964	191	68.71	241	86.69
Gen X: 1965-1980	34	12.23	275	98.92
Millenials: 1981-1997	3	1.08	278	100.00





# Section Three: DUST EXPOSURE

Awareness. The vast majority of respondents (96.8%) were familiar with wearing a mask for dust exposure and there was no difference based on generational groups. The older generation (i.e., Boomers/Matures > 50 years) learned about mask protection primarily from agricultural shows/events (40.3%) or flyers/brochures (28.5%). The younger generation (i.e., Gen X /Millenials < 50 years) learned through "other" (55.3%) (Figure 2).[Among those responding "Other" most (n= 57/109) said they used their own personal knowledge to learn about dust protection.

**Practices and Attitudes.** The younger generation reported wearing masks in dusty conditions more frequently (M = 68.3% of time) than the older generation (M = 45.3). Overall, the primary reasons for not wearing a mask were forgetting (52.1%), discomfort (25.4%); not believing it was necessary (23.2%); and not wanting to wear a mask (22.9%). Among those who answered "Other" (n=109) 40% reported the mask was unavailable. An interesting difference between generations was that more younger generation respondents (30.1%) said a mask was not necessary than did older generation respondents (21.8%) (Figure 3).

**Knowledge.** Overall, knowledge responses between generational groups were similar (Table 3a). Half of the total respondents (52.3%) were not sure if a mask needed to be N95 approved to reduce risks of dust exposure and nearly one-fourth (25.8%) didn't know or disagreed that masks required proper fitting. There were 18.7% of respondents who said that only famers exposed to grain dust were at risk for respiratory health problems. About 30% said harmful toxins are produced only in grain dust and not from animal dust. The vast majority were aware that smoking, asthma and allergies increased the risks for complications from inhaled grain dust. There were 20.5% who said they didn't know if continual exposure to dust could result in COPD.

Familiar with wearing a mask for	dust exposure (N=279)		
	<50 Years Old (n=49)	50+ Years Old (n=228)	Total
Yes	95.9%	96.9%	96.8%
No	4.1%	3.1%	3.2%
Where did they learn about wear	ing a mask (N=270) (mul	tiple answers allowed)	
Flyers, brochures	8.5%	28.5%	24.8%
Television	4.3%	8.6%	7.8%
Email or web-based (ex: You-Tube videos)	2.1%	3.6%	3.3%
Posters/displays	4.3%	10.0%	8.9%
Radio	2.1%	6.3%	5.6%
Newspaper articles/advertisements	10.6%	24.0%	21.5%
Agricultural shows/events	19.1%	40.3%	36.7%
Other	55.3%	24.9%	30.0%

### Table 3a. Dust Exposure by Age

Percent of time wearing a mask in dusty conditions (N=258)				
Mean = 63.8, SD = 32.6, Median = 77.5, Range = 0 - 100	Mean = 45.3 Median Range =	N 8, SD = 36.2, = 50.0, 0 - 100	1ean = 48.5, SD = 36.3, Median = 50.0, Range = 0 – 100	
Reasons for not wearing a mask (N=	280) (multiple answ	vers allowed)		
Forget to wear	51.0%	52.4%	52.1%	
Don't think a mask is necessary	30.6%	21.8%	23.2%	
Don't like to wear a mask	16.3%	24.0%	22.9%	
Don't own a mask	4.1%	4.4%	4.3%	
Don't know how to select a mask	2.0%	1.3%	1.4%	
Mask is uncomfortable	20.4%	26.2%	25.4%	
Mask is an additional expense	2.0%	3.1%	3.2%	
Other	26.5%	12.7%	15.0%	







#### Table 3b. Dust Exposure: Agree/Disagree statements by Age

It is important to always wear a	mask in dusty conditions.	(N=274)	
	<50 Years Old (n=48)	50+ Years Old (n=224)	Total
Strongly Agree	39.6%	38.8%	38.7%
Agree	58.3%	52.7%	53.7%
Don't know / No opinion	0.0%	5.8%	5.1%
Disagree	2.1%	2.7%	2.6%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Masks should be N95 approved	to reduce health risks from	n dust. (N=264)	
Strongly Agree	12.8%	16.7%	15.9%
Agree	29.8%	30.2%	29.9%
Don't know / No opinion	53.2%	51.6%	52.3%
Disagree	4.3%	0.9%	1.5%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%
Masks need to be correctly fitte	d to each person. (N=240)		
Strongly Agree	19.6%	21.8%	21.3%
Agree	56.5%	51.8%	52.9%
Don't know / No opinion	15.2%	19.2%	18.3%
Disagree	8.7%	7.3%	7.5%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dust exposure from animals (ho	gs, livestock) can result in	serious respiratory conditio	ons. (N=270)
Strongly Agree	19.2%	29.9%	27.8%

9

Agree	66.0%	54.8%	56.7%
Don't know / No opinion	8.5%	14.0%	13.3%
Disagree	6.4%	1.4%	2.2%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Only farmers exposed to grain a	lust are at risk for develop	ing respiratory health prol	blems. (N=273)
Strongly Agree	2.1%	5.4%	4.8%
Agree	4.3%	6.3%	6.2%
Don't know / No opinion	8.5%	7.6%	7.7%
Disagree	57.5%	57.1%	57.1%
Strongly Disagree	27.7%	23.7%	24.2%
Harmful toxins produced by mo	lds and bacteria are only p	present in grain dust and n	ot dust from animals
(hogs, livestock). (N=273)			
Strongly Agree	2.1%	4.5%	4.0%
Agree	4.2%	4.5%	4.4%
Don't know / No opinion	18.8%	22.0%	21.6%
Disagree	50.0%	50.2%	50.2%
Strongly Disagree	25.0%	18.8%	19.8%
Smoking, asthma, and allergies	increase the risk for comp	lications associated with in	nhaled grain dust.
(N=272)			
Strongly Agree	27.1%	34.2%	32.7%
Agree	60.4%	55.9%	56.6%
Don't know / No opinion	10.4%	8.1%	8.5%
Disagree	2.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	1.8%	1.5%
Continual exposure to dust can	result in chronic obstructiv	ve pulmonary disease. (N=2	273)
Strongly Agree	19.2%	27.2%	25.6%
Agree	53.2%	51.8%	52.4%
Don't know / No opinion	27.7%	19.2%	20.5%
Disagree	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	1.3%	1.1%

# Section Four: HEARING PROTECTION

**Awareness.** The vast majority of respondents (96.4%) were familiar with hearing protection as a safety measure for farmers and there were no real generational differences. There were generational differences however in how they learned about hearing protection. For older generational respondents, the leading venues were agricultural shows/events (43.8%), newspapers (30%), flyers/brochures (31.1%). For younger generational respondents , the major venue was "other" (46.9%) (Figure 4). For both generational groups responding "Other" (n=100) 42% said they learned about hearing protection through experience and "common sense."

**Hearing Status.** Overall, nearly half (48.6%) reported having hearing problems. While the number of younger generational respondents was low, there was a greater percentage of that generation that reported having visited a health provider for hearing problems and having a hearing problem but not wearing aides (Table 4a).

**Practices and Attitudes**. Both generational groups prefer ear plugs (57.1% younger; 49.1% older) to ear muffs (33.3%, 42.8% respectively). The younger generation respondents wore hearing protection in noisy conditions more frequently (M = 54.3 % of the time) than did the older generation respondents (M = 38.8%). The most frequently cited reason for both generational groups not wearing hearing protection was forgetting (51% younger; 49.8% older). A greater percentage of the younger generation respondents said wearing protection was not necessary (16.3%) as compared to the older generation (9.2%) (Figure 5). For both generational groups who responded "Other" (n=58) nearly half said the hearing protection was not available.

**Knowledge.** There were no real differences in knowledge based on generational groups (Table 4b). Overall, most respondents (88.2%) said it was important to wear hearing protection in noisy conditions but 11.7% disagreed or didn't know. There were 18.5% who were not aware that hearing protection required proper fitting. It was interesting that most (97.8%) of respondents agreed that continual unprotected noise exposure could lead to hearing loss, but many were misinformed about the causes. For example, 37.7% were not aware that continual noise from animals could result in serious hearing loss; 21.6% said only farmers exposed to machinery noise were at risk for hearing loss; and 87% were not aware that exposure to solvents/pesticides, antibiotic increased the risk of hearing loss in the present of continual noise exposure.

Familiar with hearing protection [ex: ear muffs, ear plugs] as a safety measure for farmers (N=280)				
	<50 Years Old (n=49)	50+ Years Old (n=229)	Total	
Yes	100.0%	95.6%	96.4%	
No	0.0%	4.4%	3.6%	
Where did they learn about wear	ing hearing protection (I	N=270)		
(multiple answers allowed)				
Flyers, brochures	12.2%	31.1%	27.8%	
Television	10.2%	14.6%	13.7%	
Email or web-based (ex: You-Tube videos)	0.0%	3.2%	2.6%	
Posters/displays	6.1%	12.8%	11.9%	

### Table 4a. Hearing Protection by Age

Radio	2.0%	9.1%	7.8%
Newspaper	16.2%	20.1%	27 0%
articles/advertisements	10.570	50.170	27.0/0
Agricultural	1/ 2%	12 8%	28.0%
shows/events	14.570	45.0%	50.5%
Other	46.9%	23.7%	27.8%
Do the following apply (N=160 – Lin	nited to persons who re	ported mild/severe heari	ng loss) (multiple
answers allowed)			
Visited a health provider			
last year for a hearing	15.4%	9.7%	10.0%
related problem			
Have hearing problems			
but do not wear hearing	61.5%	50.3%	52.5%
aids			
Have a hearing problem	0.0%	10 50/	0.40/
and wear hearing aids	0.0%	10.5%	9.4%
Type of hearing protection used (N=	203)		
Ear muffs	33.3%	42.8%	40.9%
Ear plugs	57.1%	49.1%	50.3%
Both	7.1%	5.0%	5.9%
Other	2.4%	3.1%	3.0%
Percent of time wearing hearing pro	otection in noisy conditi	ions (N=257)	
<50 Years Old	50+ Years	Old	
(n=49)	(n=206	)	Total
Mean = 54.3. SD = 33.4.	Mean = 38.8. S	, D = 34.8. Mean	= 41.5. SD = 34.9.
Median = 50.0.	Median = 2	25.0. M	edian = 40.0.
Range = $0 - 100$	Range = 0 -	- 100 Ra	inge = 0 - 100
Reasons for not wearing hearing pr	otection (N=280) (multi	ple answers allowed)	5
	<50 Years Old	50+ Years Old	
	(n=49)	(n=229)	Total
Forget to wear	51.0%	49.8%	50.0%
Don't think ear muffs or			
ear nlugs are necessary	16.3%	9.2%	10.4%
Don't like to wear ear			
muffs or ear plugs	10.2%	19.7%	17.9%
Don't own ear muffs or			
ear nlugs	2.0%	9.6%	8.2%
Don't know how to			
soloct oar muffs or oar	0.0%	2.20/	1 00/
	0.076	2.278	1.876
For muffe or opr pluge			
are uncomfortable	16.3%	18.8%	18.6%
are uncomfortable	16.3%	18.8%	18.6%
Ear multis of ear plugs       are uncomfortable       Ear muffs or ear plugs       ere an additional	16.3%	18.8%	18.6%
Ear muffs or ear plugs are uncomfortable Ear muffs or ear plugs are an additional	16.3% 0.0%	18.8% 2.6%	18.6% 2.5%
Ear muffs or ear plugs are uncomfortable Ear muffs or ear plugs are an additional expense	16.3% 0.0%	18.8% 2.6%	18.6% 2.5%





Figure 5.



It is important to always wear hearing protection in noisy conditions. (N=273)						
	<50 Years Old	50+ Years Old	Tatal			
	(n=49)	(n=222)	Iotai			
Strongly Agree	22.5%	27.9%	26.7%			
Agree	71.4%	59.5%	61.5%			
Don't know / No	0.0%	10.4%	8 8%			
opinion	0.0%	10.4%	0.070			
Disagree	6.1%	2.3%	2.9%			
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
It is important that hearing prot	ection be fitted correctly.	(N=271)				
Strongly Agree	14.3%	19.1%	18.1%			
Agree	69.4%	62.3%	63.5%			
Don't know / No opinion	14.3%	14.6%	14.8%			
Disagree	2.0%	4.1%	3.7%			
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Continual noise exposure from a	nimals (hogs, livestock) co	an result in serious hearing l	oss. (N=271)			
Strongly Agree	14.3%	17.3%	16.6%			
Agree	51.0%	44.6%	45.8%			
Don't know / No	24 5%	20.6%	28.8%			
opinion	24.370	29.0%	20.070			
Disagree	10.2%	8.2%	8.5%			
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%			
Only farmers exposed to machin	ery noise are at risk for he	earing loss. (N=273)				
Strongly Agree	0.0%	3.2%	2.6%			
Agree	6.1%	10.4%	9.5%			
Don't know / No opinion	12.2%	8.6%	9.5%			
Disagree	65.3%	59.0%	60.1%			
Strongly Disagree	16.3%	18.9%	18.3%			
Continual, unprotected exposure	e to noise can result in ser	ious hearing loss. (N=270)				
Strongly Agree	28.6%	28.3%	28.2%			
Agree	63.3%	64.4%	64.4%			
Don't know / No opinion	6.1%	5.0%	5.2%			
Disagree	2.0%	1.8%	1.9%			
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%			
Exposure to solvents, pesticides,	antibiotics increases the	risk of hearing loss when the	ere is also constant			
exposure to loud noise. (N=273)						
Strongly Agree	2.0%	6.8%	5.9%			
Agree	8.2%	19.4%	17.2%			
Don't know / No opinion	69.4%	60.8%	62.3%			
Disagree	14.3%	13.1%	13.6%			
Strongly Disagree	6.1%	0.0%	1.1%			

# Table 4b. Hearing Protection: Agree/Disagree statements by Age

Category:Learn about hearing protection	N = 100 Respondents	
Education	8	
Family	10	
НСР	8	
Health Concerns	7	
Hunting	2	
Industrial Setting	20	
Own Discretion	42	
Unknown	3	

# Table 4c. " OTHER" Responses to Sources of Hearing Information

# Section Five: CS-CASH

As anticipated for baseline data, the vast majority of respondents (94.7%) had not heard of CS-CASH. The few who had learned about the Center from ag events and website (Figure 6).

There were no real differences based on generational groups as regards primary sources of agricultural health and safety information (Table 5a). Overall, respondents reported magazines (62.9%); newspapers/journals (44.3%), local resources (i.e., elevator operators, retail stores) (31.4%); and ag fairs (26.4%) (Figure 7). The least cited source for information was web-based (12.5%). It was noted that more older generation respondents relied on newspapers/journals (46.3%) than the younger generation respondents (34.7%) (See Appendix A for various listings).

Respondents were asked to rank the importance of various activities for an Ag Center (Table 5b). Respondents said that top priorities ("very important") included: education for ag-related injuries (51.2%); education for health ag-related health conditions (42.5%); clinical research on ag-related health conditions (33.7%); and community outreach (28%).

Nearly 1/3 (n= 97) of respondents contributed comments as to how to improve the health and safety of persons employed in the agricultural industry. Results show nearly an equal distribution between ag operators using common sense and thinking before acting as their guide to safety and health and Education. The education category can be further divided in that 10 respondents added that working with youth was important. Financial aspects included insurance in incentives for safe practices and more affordable health care in general. Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) was mentioned by 8% of respondents. See Appendix B.

Primary source of information about agricultural health and safety (N=280) (Multiple answers allowed)						
	<50 Years Old (n=49)	50+ Years Old (n=229)	Total			
Web-based resources/sites	12.2%	12.7%	12.5%			
Newspapers/journals	34.7%	46.3%	44.3%			
Magazines	61.2%	63.3%	62.9%			
Ag fairs	24.5%	26.6%	26.4%			
Local resources (ex: elevator operators, vendors, retail stores)	32.7%	31.0%	31.4%			

### Table 5a. Information Sources by Age

Table 5b. CS-CASH

Ever heard of CS-CASH (N=265)	
Yes	5.3%
No	94.7%
CS-CASH programs/services accessed. (N=14 – Only those who indicated that they h	ad heard of CS-CASH)
(Multiple answers allowed)	
CS-CASH website	21.4%
CS-CASH booth at regional ag fairs/events	28.6%
CS-CASH emails containing agricultural safety and health messages	7.1%
Personal contacts with CS-CASH staff through my local elevator operator	0.0%
Other	14.3%
Rate the importance of clinical research on Ag-related health conditions (ex: grain of	dust exposure).
(N=258)	
1 – Very important	33.7%
2	28.3%
3	21.7%
4	9.7%
5 – Not at all important	6.6%
Rate the importance of education to prevent Ag-related conditions or diseases (ex: (N=259)	hearing protection).
1 – Very important	42.5%
2	26.6%
3	16.2%
4	8.5%
5 – Not at all important	6.2%
Rate the importance of education to prevent Ag-related injuries. (N=256)	
1 – Very important	51.2%
2	20.3%
3	11.7%
4	9.4%
5 – Not at all important	7.4%
Rate the importance of local community outreach programs to understand/identify	concerns of Ag-
operators/farmers. (N=257)	
1 – Very important	28.0%
2	24.1%
3	28.4%
4	11.3%
5 – Not at all important	8.2%(

Figure 6.



### Figure 7.



# **Conclusions and Recommendations**

- 1. The response rate (28%) for this mailed survey was close to the assumed response rate of 30% used for determining our sample size. Still, an improved response rate would be advantageous especially for GenX/Millenials.
  - a. An email survey to may improve response rates especially among younger generations.
  - b. Another option may be to include items from this survey with the Injury Surveillance Survey
- 2. The survey yielded useful baseline data for long-term measures (i.e., End Outcomes of the Logic Model) for impact of programming on population knowledge, attitudes, practices for safety and health.
  - a. Suggest modifications to wording of knowledge items to avoid leading questions and more options for sources of information based on the large number of items marked for "other"
- 3. The survey yielded useful data for recommendations to community education and outreach
  - a. More work can be done on improving knowledge & attitudes about sources of dust exposure, health risks to COPD, and need for protection -- especially targeted toward GenX/Millenials
  - More programming to improve knowledge & attitudes about sources of noise and health risks of noise – especially for GenX/Millenials who already report hearing problems
  - c. More programming to educate younger generations on masks and hearing protection through various stakeholder groups
  - d. More outreach could be directed toward collaborating with sources of information identified as "other" Table 4c (i.e., merchants, elevators)
  - e. Consideration for how to market/research/overcome the barriers to wearing masks and ear protection forgetting and not having it available.
- 4. Boomer/Matures gain information from hard copy and at ag events.
- 5. GenX/Millenials present the greatest opportunity for education and outreach efforts. Qualitative comments included suggestions to target young families and appeal to the agoperators' sense of responsibility to his/her family insofar as health.

# References

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Questionnaire*. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011-2012.

Dillman, D. A., et al (2009). *Internet, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: the tailored design method, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, New Jersey.

NASS <a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov/Data\_and\_Statistics">http://www.nass.usda.gov/Data\_and\_Statistics</a>

# **APPENDIX A**

rinnary Source of information about Agricultural fieatth and Salety Responses
---

	r minary source or minor			
Web-based	<u>Newspapers/Journals</u>	<u>Magazines</u>	<u>Ag Fairs</u>	Local Resources
Ag Web				
ISU Ag	Ag week-2X	B.E.K. Newsletter	Big Iron, Fargo ND	County health fairs
Decision Maker	Agri-nes		Clay County Fair,	Do not read or care
ISU Extension	AgriNews	Farm JournalX21	Spencer IA	U do what needs to
Ag websites,	Argus Leader	Farm MagazineX2	Farm & Home Show	be done within reason
various websites	Country Living	Farm-AG Bsiness	Farm Power showX2 in	DoctorX3
& newsletters	Dairy Star	Farmer	Des Moines	Don't see much
	Farm and Ranch	Hoards DairymanX2	Farm ProgressX3	information on
	Farm Bureau Spokesman-2X	Hog Farm Management		safety
	Farm Forum	Iowa Farmer Today	Farm shows	Fastern Farmers
	Farm Journal Publications-5X	K.E.M. paper		Lastern ranners
	Farm Papers	Kansas Farmer	Farm shows-FarmFest	Equipment decals,
	Farm Talk Newspaper	Midwest Ag journal	Husker Harvest DaysX2	manuals, vendors,
	Farmer Ranch Guide	Minnesota Farm Guide	IowaPower Farming	chemic labels
	Green Sheet Farm Forum	National Hay Farmer	Show	
	Hebron Journal	National Hog Farmer	Jefferson County	Farm Organizations
	High Plains Journal	Nebraska FarmerX2	Ag Fair Louisville KY Farm	Five Star
	Iowa Farmer Today-2X	Other farm magazinesX8	show	CooperativesX2
	ISU Extension	Pork	Mitchell Ag Show	government
	Local news & papers-2X	Pro Farme-2	SD State Fair	Industrial Training
	Midwest Producers	SoyBean Digest	NE Nebraska	ISU Extension
	Mo Farmer	Successful FarmingX17	Ag Triumph Show	local stores or alguators
	Mother Earth News	The Farmer.X2	Pork Congress	
	Omaha World Herald	The Furrow	Triumph of Agriculture	My RN sisters,KRVN
	Progressive Farmer	The Land,X2		My son-inlaw
	Research articles	Wallace FarmerX3		My wife works for the doctors
	Successful Farmer			inmy hometown.
	The Land X2			Myself
	Tri State Neighbor			None
				Pamphlets,
				newsletters, etc.
				Pesticide trainingcourses
				Radio
				S.W. Grain
				TV ads
				UniversityExtensionX2
				University of MO
				extension
				Use own common
				sense
				word of mouth, farmers

# **APPENDIX B**

### What Suggestions Do You Have to Improve the Health and Safety of Persons Employed in the Agricultural Industry

Category	Response
Advertising	More media exposure
	Results of research in these areas need to be made known
PPE	Have and use safety equipment all the time
Availability	Make ear protection easier to get in all places
	Provide needed safety items in our vaccine booklets, with livestock supplies, machinery booklets, and
	magazines like Wallace Farmer
	Wear protective gear when working with chemicals
	Wear your PPE
	When in doubt, wear a mask and or ear plugs and safety glasses
	Mother has an injury call button. It would be ok if a farmer had a "call for help" button.exp. In a bin, fall off
	a ladder or reg fall.
Decrease	Fewer governmental regulations so we have more time to do the jobs we need to do safely.
Regulation	Spend way too much time on paperwork for the government
_	Most farms think more laws and regulation will make it harder to make a profit.
	Work in it before making regulations
Early	Early Education
Education	Educate children and young adults so wearing protective devices are as common as wearing a seat belt.
	Great survey.
	Get youth to employ safe practices-hearing, dust, sun protection
	make it easy and start young
	Need to start educating at early ages, most of us older farmers were never told of these dangers.
	Possibly more emphasis in rural schools of health effects related to dust, noise, etc.
	Start educating the youth through programs in schools, 4H, FAA etc.
	Teach kids so they make prevention a habit
	Use of material handouts at rural school events, ad spots on KRVN
	Cant teach an old dog new tricks - make sure youth in schools and young farmers know of risks
	* Early training-wish I knew then what I know now. Once you lose your hearing it is too late. Hearing-
	Respiratory & sun exposure (skin damage)
Education	All of the above #29
	as farms get larger, more hired personnel - non ag background will need to be introduced to the sources of
	health issues on the farm - and elsewhere- (what is the future of tech issues to the human body-
	Continue to try to educate the older stubborn farmers that health issues are there to educate them on
	their own personal safety. Survey - filled out by the farm wife!
	education about long term effects of dust and noise and exposure - if we actually know what those effects
	are. How to do that education is something I really don't know.
	Education and implementation of safety materials
	Education in all phases. Require warning signs on all confinement facilities
	Education to wear protection
	educational outreach; have representatives from CS-CASH attend health fairs, ag expos, state and county
	fairs etc.
	have meetings
	Have persons afflicted present dangers & hazards to the healthy persons.

	Keep updated on new improve products
	Maybe case studies about it in magazine articles or actual individual cases and results.
	more education
	More education! Classes, seminars
	Plans for instruction of operation and safety procedures while operating equipment
	Put on educational meetings with incentive of free lunch or raffle for ear plugs & ear muffs.
	Should be much more concerned about the chemicals that are destroying us all!
	Try not to work alone - keep a phone on you for help - stay alert especially on highways and moving
	equinment
	We have to understand that long term exposure to all the exposures above is a very serious hazard
	We classes that can be taken at home or at work
	Improved comfort of dust mask. Improved education/outreach
	Safety info led by Ag organizations
	more education & awareness about some of the risks ag employees face possibly by extension educators
	keen warning labels as short, simply and to the point as possible!
	seminars fair booths
	set un demonstrations & displays at farm shows
	health education
	more information needed as to what kind masks (dust) earmuffs (hearing) are the best
	education not legislation
	Have sticky signs that could be placed many places as reminders
Financial	Affordable family health carel. Premiums are way out of controll. Why should we have to decrease
1 manetal	coverage just to afford the coverage. Thats the real issue consurning HEALTH
	Find a lower cost of insurance for individual farmers
	Get rid of Ohama care
	Maybe give farmers insurance breaks for doing so many bours of continuing education on safety ( kind of
	like my continuing ed for my chemical license)
	We are uncertain about the CS-Cash - to reduce government cost it could be fased out
	Healthcare pool for farmers & rural communities with dedication by a hospital or healthcare provider
	Directly, not threw an Insurance Group
Own	"Think"- no substitute for being careful
Discretion	Be alert - breathe fresh air and avoid poisy environments
	be alert eat healthy get rest. Don't do so many 3-4 day without sleep
	Be aware of dangers around you
	be aware of environment
	Be aware of your surroundings and always use common sense. If you don't have common sense stay away
	from the situation
	Be Careful X3
	Take your time don't rush everything. Read instructions, dress properly, don't push yourself too hard
	Common Sense and to tell people to slow down. Guess that applies to accidents more so and smiley face
	Don't be lax in using safety equipment
	Don't most people know grain dust can be harmful, or when something is too loud to wear ear protection?
	everyone should stop and think and use common sense because it may not show up now but over the
	vears and when you get older it will start to show.
	Have safety as an attitude
	If we use hearing protection and respitory portection we are off to a good start
	It is their choice
	Just get them to slow down enough to think thru what they are doing and see if there is a safer way
	Have safety as an attitude If we use hearing protection and respitory portection we are off to a good start. It is their choice Just get them to slow down enough to think thru what they are doing and see if there is a safer way.

	Just be serious about safety, take all precautions and slow down - "think" before you act. Take the time before you run out of time
	Just be smart about what you are doing and have the right equipment to protect you from the elements. Keep up with current conditions.
	most is common sense/ you're taught most of the health hassards in school.
	People are responsible for their own health and safety. I am not filling this out to have more regulations
	put on us!
	Read and comply with all safety warnings.
	Start by spending your money wiser. A 12 year old city kid could answer you questions, just common sense take care of your health its the only one you have
	take time and slow down
	Take time to think of what you are doing
	THINK before you act X 2
	use common sense
	use your head and a little common sense, of course knowing and doing it's all up to the individual what he
	chooses to do
	Awareness
Other	Electrical lines, power shafts, augers, I really think they know this but accidents do happen. What really pisses me off is kids wereing helmets on a bicycle parents too. We are getting weak. A survey last week said kids have more allergies than ever before they are being babied. The black people are fine probably because they for the most part live in poverty. They do not fit their kids with helmets and ear protection. They thrive!
	Farmers who have problems talking to our young people. I would beless stressed and would feel healthier if I hadn't received this (these) surveys. If I agreed to do this, I apologize. I'm sorry, I have changed my mind. Please do not send me anymore. I wish you well with your more cooperative respondents.

# **APPENDIX C**



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER

# Midwestern Farm Operators Respiratory and Hearing Health Survey



FARM OPERATION
<ol> <li>Which best describes your operation:         <ul> <li>Family or individual operation – exclude partnerships and corporations</li> <li>Partnership operation – include family partnerships</li> <li>Incorporated under state law</li> <li>Other (ex: estate or trust, prison farm, American Indian Reservation)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
2. Please describe your production activity (check all that apply)  Grow/harvest - corn crops Grow/harvest - soybean crops Raise hogs/pigs Raise cattle Other (please specify:)
3. Acreage in 2012: a. What are the total acres that you own?
b. What are the total acres that you rent/lease from others?
d. What are the total acres in this operation?
4. Livestock in 2012: a. What were the total number of hogs and pigs on hand?
b. What were the total number of cattle and calves on hand?
5. Which of the following best describes your status?   Principal operator or senior partner  Secondary operator  Other (please specify:)
6. What is your age?
7. Are you Hispanic or Latino?
8. What is your race? White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Asian
Please proceed to the back of this page page 1 of 5 3920133168

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER Farm Operators Respiratory & Hearing Health Survey (continued)
9. What is your sex?
<ul> <li>10. Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life? (Note: 5 packs = 100 cigarettes)</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Don't know / Not sure</li> <li>Refuse</li> </ul>
11. Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all? Every day Some days Not at all Don't know / Not sure Refuse
12. If you smoke daily, on average, how many cigarettes do you smoke each day, including factory made cigarettes and roll your own cigarettes? # of cigarettes per day
<ul> <li>13. Do you have any of the following conditions? (check all that apply)</li> <li>Mild hearing loss</li> <li>Severe hearing loss</li> <li>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) (ex: Bronchitis, Emphysema)</li> <li>Asthma</li> <li>Sinus disease</li> <li>Nasal symptoms</li> </ul>
DUST EXPOSURE
14. Are you familiar with wearing a mask as a safety measure for grain dust exposure? ☐ Yes ☐ No
15. If applicable how did you learn about wearing a mask in dusty conditions? (check all that apply)
16. What is the estimated (%) amount of time you wear a mask in dusty conditions?
page 2 of 5 8132133168



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER

Farm Operators Respiratory & Hearing Health Survey (continued)



 Which of the following best describes reasons you do NOT wear a mask for protection in dusty conditions? (check all that apply)

I forget to wear a mask

I don't think a mask is necessary

I don't like to wear a mask

I don't own a mask

I don't know how to select a mask

A mask is uncomfortable

- A mask is an additional expense
- Other (please specify:\_\_\_\_\_\_)

#### 18. Please indicate whether or not you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Don't Know/ No opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
It is important to <i>always</i> wear a mask in dusty conditions.					
Masks should be N95 approved to reduce health risks from dust.					
Masks need to be correctly fitted to each person.					
Dust exposure from animals (hogs, livestock) can result in serious respiratory conditions.					
Only farmers exposed to grain dust are at risk for developing respiratory health problems.					
Harmful toxins produced by molds and bacteria are only present in grain dust and not dust from animals (hogs, livestock).					
Smoking, asthma, and allergies increase the risk for complications associated with inhaled grain dust.					
Continual exposure to dust can result in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.					

#### HEARING PROTECTION

- Are you familiar with hearing protection [ex: ear muffs, ear plugs] as a safety measure for farmers?
   Yes
  - □ No
- 20. If applicable, how did you learn about the importance of wearing hearing protection in noisy environments? (check all that apply)
  - Flyers, brochures
  - Television
  - Email or Web-based (ex: You-Tube videos)
  - Posters/displays

Radio

- Newspaper articles/advertisements
- Agricultural shows/events
- Other (please specify:\_

### Please proceed to the back of this page



9777133164

Γ	UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER Farm Operators Respiratory & Hearing Health Survey (continued)	AgHealth Central States General Franksbard Lakey and that	٦
	<ul> <li>21. Do any of the following apply to you? (check all that apply)</li> <li>I visited a health provider last year for a hearing related problem</li> <li>I have hearing problems but do not wear hearing aids</li> <li>I have a hearing problem and wear hearing aids</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>22. If you wear hearing protection, what kind do you use?</li> <li>Ear muffs</li> <li>Ear plugs</li> <li>Other (please specify:</li></ul>		ر
	23. What is the estimated (%) amount of time you wear hearing protection in noisy environme	ents?	%
	<ul> <li>24. Which of the following best describes the reasons you do NOT wear hearing protection (o</li> <li>I forget to wear ear muffs or ear plugs</li> <li>I don't think ear muffs or ear plugs are necessary</li> <li>I don't like to wear ear muffs or ear plugs</li> <li>I don't own ear muffs or ear plugs</li> <li>I don't know how to select ear muffs or ear plugs</li> <li>Ear muffs or ear plugs are uncomfortable</li> <li>Ear muffs or ear plugs are an additional expense</li> </ul>	heck all that appl	5
	Other (please specify:		

25. Please indicate whether or not you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Don't Know/ No opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
It is important to always wear hearing protection in noisy conditions.					
It is important that hearing protection be fitted correctly.					
Continual noise exposure from animals (hogs, livestock) can result in serious hearing loss.					
Only farmers exposed to machinery noise are at risk for hearing loss.					
Continual, unprotected exposure to noise can result in serious hearing loss.					
Exposure to solvents, pesticides, antibiotics increase the risk of hearing loss when there is also constant exposure to loud noise.					

### Central States Center for Agricultural Safety and Health (CS-CASH)

26. Have you heard of the Central States Center for Agricultural Safety and Health (CS-CASH) that is affiliated with the University of Nebraska Medical Center?

Yes → PROCEED TO QUESTION #27

No → PROCEED TO QUESTION #28

page 4 of 5

AgHealth Medical center Farm Operators Respiratory & Hearing Health Survey (continued)
<ul> <li>27. Which of the following CS-CASH programs/services have you accessed? (check all that apply)</li> <li>CS-CASH Website</li> <li>CS-CASH booth at regional Ag Fairs/events</li> <li>CS-CASH Emails containing agricultural safety and health messages</li> <li>Personal contacts with CS-CASH staff through my local elevator operator</li> <li>Other (please specify:)</li> </ul>
28. What is your primary source of information about agricultural health and safety? (check all that apply) Web-based resources/sites (please specify:)
Newspapers/journals (please specify:)
Magazines (please specify:)
Ag Fairs (please specify:)
Local resources (ex: elevator operators, vendors, retail stores)
(please specify:)
29. In your opinion, how important are each of the following? Please rate each area on a scale of 1-5 with "1" being very important and "5" being not at all important.
a. Clinical research on Ag-related health conditions (ex: grain dust exposure)
b. Education to prevent Ag-related conditions or diseases (ex: hearing protection)
c. Education to prevent Ag-related injuries
d. Local community outreach programs to understand/identify concerns of Ag-operators/farmers
30. What suggestions do you have to improve the health and safety of persons employed in the agricultural industry?

#### Thank you for your participation!

Please return completed surveys to: Mary Wendl, RN., M.S A. UNMC College of Nursing 984350 Nebraska Medical Center Omaha, NE 68198-5330





page 5 of 5

http://unmc.edu/publichealth/cscash/

9205133160